SINGLE BENCH

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

W.P. NO. 3450 /2005

PETITIONER : Naveen Chandra Kashyap
S/o. Late Shri J.P. Kashyap
Aged about 40 years,
R/o. Village and Post Jarwe
(Hardi), Tahsil and District
Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3545/05
Presented by Shri B.M. Solapurkar
dated 26/7/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary
Department of Labour
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Labour Commissioner
Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Assistant Labour Commissioner
Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. The Director Industrial Health
and Security, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
26.7.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

The petitioner respectfully begs to submit as under :-

PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER

As per the cause title above.

1.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 3450 सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

08.08.2005

Shri R.M. Solapurkar, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State on advance copy.

I.A. No. 6558 of 2005:

This is an application for urgent hearing.

The matter is taken up on Board. I.A. No. 6558 of 2005 for urgent hearing stands disposed of.

W.P.No. 3450 of 2005:

Heard Shri R.M. Solapurkar, counsel for the petitioner and Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State on advance copy.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had worked as L.D.C under the respondent No. 3 for the period of about six years and thereafter vide Annexure-P/4, his service was retrenched. He refers to the documents Annexure-P/7, P/8 & P/9 and submits that in view of these documents his service has to be reinstated by the concerned authority but no action has been ~~done~~ taken so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the time being this petition may be disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a detailed representation before the concerned authority and the concerned authority may be directed to dispose of the said representation within the stipulated period granted by this Court.

This prayer is not opposed by the State counsel.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3450 सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	The prayer is allowed. It is hereby directed that if so advised, the petitioner may file a representation before the concerned authority within a period of 3 weeks from today and if such a representation is filed, the concerned authority shall be obliged to dispose of the same as early as possible preferably within a period of 6 weeks from the date of filing/receipt of the representation along with a copy of this order and also with a copy of this writ petition. In view of the aforesaid observations, this petition stands finally disposed of. Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge	

(पीछे देखिये)